

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/786,579	02/23/2004	Steven Allen Carlson	1141.009	4364
75	590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
Optodot Corporation			TRA, TUYEN Q	
Attn: Intellectual Property Department Suite 305			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
214 Lincoln Street			2873	
Allston, MA	02134		DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commence	10/786,579	CARLSON ET AL.	CARLSON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	m		
	Tuyen Q Tra	2873	N		
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence add	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) (2). - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON I, by statute, cause the application to become AB	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this cor BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>23 February 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for	r allowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the	merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the approximate approximate approximate and of the above claim(s) is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	•	• • •	• •		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PT0	O-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<u> </u>	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in A Ocuments have been	opplication No received in this National S	Stage		
Attachment(s)	(
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- 1) 	4) LI Interview S 0-948) Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/786,579

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claims have been renumbered as follow:

Page 56, claims 23-42 have been renumbered as 24-43.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-60 of US Patent. 6,380,059.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose an optical device having a first state of transmitting and

Application/Control Number: 10/786,579

Art Unit: 2873

a second state of not transmitting, said shutter comprising a photon-absorbing layer, wherein said photon-absorbing layer comprises an organic free radical compound. Since the '059 patent teach a low absorption at a wavelength and a high absorption wavelength as transmitting and not transmitting of the wavelength, and would render the claims obvious to one ordinary skill in the art over the claims of US Patent 6,380,059.

- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-24 of US Patent. 6,757,094.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose an optical device having a first state of transmitting and a second state of not transmitting, said shutter comprising a photon-absorbing layer, wherein said photon-absorbing layer comprises an organic free radical compound.

 Since the '094 patent teach a low absorption at a wavelength and a high absorption wavelength as transmitting and not transmitting of the wavelength, and would render the claims obvious to one ordinary skill in the art over the claims of US Patent 6,757,094.
- 5. Claims 23-43 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 53-60 of US Patent. 6,583,916. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both disclose method for a) providing an input optical path; providing an output optical path; (b) interposing an optical modulator for modulating an optical signal at a wavelength in said input optical path between a first state of transmitting said optical signal into said output optical path and a second state of not transmitting said optical signal into said output optical path, wherein said modulator comprises an active modulating material that comprises an organic free radical

Application/Control Number: 10/786,579

Art Unit: 2873

compound in at least one of said first and second states; (c) providing an optical signal in said input optical path; and (d) reversibly switching said active modulating material between said first and second states to modulate said optical signal in said output optical path. Since the '916 patent teach method of a) providing a free-space optical switch device, comprising an optical shutter disposed between an optical input path and a first and second optical output paths, the optical shutter being switchable between a transparent state in which the light from the input path is transmitted through the optical shutter to said first output path, and a reflective state in which the light from the input path is reflected from said optical shutter to said second output path; (b) inputting an optical signal into the input path; (c) providing photons to switch said optical shutter reversibly between said transparent state and said reflective state in order to selectively direct said optical signal to a predetermined one of the output paths, and would render the claims obvious to one ordinary skill in the art over the claims of US Patent 6,538,916.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen Tra whose telephone number is (571) 272-2343. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 8:30am to 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps, can be reached on (571) 272 - 2328. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2873

tt

November 5, 2004

Hung Xuan Dang Primary Examinar